

**KENTUCKY UNITED NATIONS ASSEMBLY
OFFICIAL PROPOSAL**

Proposal #: **54**

Assignment: **F**

Country: **Russian Federation** School: **St. Patrick** City: **Louisville**
Author(s): **Shawn Kirwan** **Collin McKinley**
Will Reynolds

Title: **An act to ban floating nuclear power stations from being built in Russia.**

BE IT HEREBY ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE UNITED NATIONS

Justification Clause : Russia is producing floating nuclear power stations in order to bring power to industrial areas on the Northeast coastal regions of Russia. They are proposing to build 7 more of these stations by 2015. Nuclear power accounts for 16% of the country's energy usage and they want to double that in the next 8 years even though many of their plants are unsafe to use. By banning these, it will benefit the economy, decrease environmental hazards, and decrease risks of terrorism. We are proposing to ban these and to encourage the United Nations to ban them worldwide.

Section I – These floating nuclear power plants can be a threat to society if they got into the hands of pirates or terrorists. The terrorists or pirates could use the uranium cores of the reactors to make nuclear bombs. These floating power plants could possibly be deployed in such places as the Philippines where the threat of piracy is a growing problem or anywhere else around the world.

Section II – Throughout Russia's history of nuclear power usage, they have had many accidents. Six of these have been on nuclear powered ships. There have also been multiple accidents at nuclear power facilities that have caused hundreds of millions of dollars in damage and have killed thousands of people. The largest of these accidents was the Chernobyl disaster. One of the reactors had a total meltdown that released deadly radioactive toxins into the air. While the incident has an official government casualty count of 31 people, there have been an estimated 40,000 people killed by cancer deaths from the radiation. These floating nuclear power plants can be even more of a risk to Russia and its people than any other nuclear facility.

Section III – The cost to construct a floating nuclear power plant is \$6 billion. If the IAEA bans the production of floating nuclear power plants, then Russia will save \$6 billion. With this money, we are proposing that the Russian Federation updates the existing 11 nuclear power plants that are currently in use, out of date, and not in compliance with nuclear safety regulations.

Section IV – These guidelines will be enforced by IAEA (International Atomic Energy Agency) .

Section V - This proposal will go into effect within 30 days of its passage.